





THE Amrita Bazar Patrika

CALCUTTA, JULY 9, 1899.

LORD CURZON AND HIS FIRST MEASURE.

THE impression prevailed, both in England and India, and we fear it prevails even now, that Lord Curzon was influenced by the Colonial Office to impose the countervailing duties upon sugar.

PATRIKA OFFICE, CALCUTTA, JUNE 29th, 1899.

To W. Lawrence Esqr., Private Secretary to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor-General of India, Simla.

SIR,—Our information is, that Lord Curzon arrived at his decision as regards the sugar question, before His Excellency had heard anything on the subject from Mr. Chamberlain, and the sole motive of the Viceroy for the imposition of the countervailing duties was the protection of an indigenous manufacture, which was being slowly killed by an unfair competition.

A reply to the above will very much oblige. Yours etc. etc.

P. S.—I need hardly add that I intend to publish both my letter and your reply in the Patrika.

To the above we have got the following reply:—

Simla, 3rd July 1899.

DEAR SIR,—I have received your letter of the 29th ultimo. Your information is correct. The Viceroy arrived at the decision to recommend countervailing duties in India upon the strength of the Indian case alone, and in entire independence of the correspondence from the Colonial Office.

Yours Faithfully,

WALTER LAWRENCE.

Now, those who were led to suspect, and to say so in their papers, that the Viceroy was influenced by any pressure from the Colonial Office should take note of the above letter and do the needful. It is a serious charge against the Viceroy that the first thing that he should do on arrival here, is to sacrifice "India to Mauritius."

We have sent a copy of Mr. Lawrence's letter to those friends in England who have been led astray by the mischievous earnestness of Mr. Maclean.

We attach a good deal of importance to the letter of Mr. Lawrence published above. Really a strong belief prevailed in the country that Lord Curzon had yielded to the pressure of Mr. Chamberlain, and the good of India was not the real motive of his action.

As in India, so in America, the bounty-fed sugar from the German Empire, has seriously told upon the local sugar industry. But having no other interests except their own to take care of, Americans have disposed of the matter in a business-like way.

Now, it so happened that, three days before the arrival of the above message, that is to say, on the 21st February, the following paragraph appeared in this journal:—

As in India, so in America, the bounty-fed sugar from the German Empire, has seriously told upon the local sugar industry. But having no other interests except their own to take care of, Americans have disposed of the matter in a business-like way.

And we find Lord Curzon sending a telegraphic reply on 25th Feb., after the receipt of Lord George Hamilton's telegram, dated the 24th, in these words:—

Propose to legislate at once on American model. Here we see Lord Curzon reversing the policy of his predecessors, simply because his Lordship saw that it was necessary in the interests of the country, and that the reform, in the opinion of the Indian press, was urgently needed.

country. Any other Viceroy would have left the matter alone, and never disturbed the decision of his predecessor.

THE LATE SIR JAMES STEPHEN.

OUR readers may perhaps remember the case of Mrs. Maybrick in England. She was accused and convicted of having poisoned her husband with arsenic, and imprisoned for life. As she is an American lady, her case elicited universal sympathy in her native country.

The ground on which they base their prayer for clemency is that Mrs. Maybrick is innocent. And why? It is, because, they say, Mr. Maybrick was in the habit of taking arsenic with which he was alleged to have been poisoned.

In England, as a Judge, Sir James Stephen was remarkable for his passion for conviction and severe sentences. With him accusation was almost enough for conviction, and latterly he began to play so many mad pranks that the English people found themselves in a state of despair, not knowing what to do with him.

If he is remembered in America, he is much more remembered in India. He was here our law-maker and it was he who introduced certain innovations which threw this helpless country into consternation and blank despair.

These provisions obtain in this country alone and nowhere else. Are they good? If so, why are they not introduced in England?

But then, how do the administrators manage without these provisions in other countries of the world? How did the administrators of India manage to rule the country with efficacy before 1870, when these innovations did not exist?

The only advantage that Government derives from these innovations is that they confer irresistible powers upon the executive. But it is necessary to vest the executive with such powers, when administrators previous to 1870 could manage very well without them?

We leave this matter in the hands of all Englishmen, interested in the good of India. We appeal to their sense of justice and generosity to give a little thought to this serious question, which has rendered British subjects in India, with all the advantages of the civilized rule that they enjoy, so unhappy.

We showed, by quoting from our columns, that, in his fight with Mr. Apar, we did our best to help Babu Narendra Nath Sen and injure his opponent, and that his best friend could not have done more than what we were led to do.

to the step he took to serve his interests. But he has taken other methods to soothe his perturbed feelings. One is to praise himself in his columns, the other is to abuse those who sided with Mr. Apar.

Arjuna took up his sword to kill his sovereign and eldest brother, Yudhishtra. "Arjuna, what is this?" asked Sree Krishna. "You kill your brother whom you so love and revere," Arjuna said, "Lord, I have no help. I promised that I would kill the man who would venture to tell me that I was not worthy to hold my bow (Gandeb). My brother just now made the same remark. Though I love my brother more than my life and though I know I will go to hell by murdering him, yet as a Kshatriya, I must keep my word."

As our readers are aware there was some altercation between a young lad belonging to the Oudh family, and a European, Mr. Norton. We cannot help believing that both parties were to blame for this. It is not likely that Mr. Norton would have run to the law court if he had not some real grievance in the matter.

NOT only did Mr. Davitt but also Mr. Herbert Roberts put a question in the House of Commons regarding the Rangoon outrage. The question of Mr. Davitt and the reply of the Indian Secretary of State on the subject were published in our yesterday's issue.

Mr. Herbert Roberts asked the Secretary for India whether his attention had been called to the disgraceful outrage upon a Burmese lady, which was committed by from 10 to 16 men of the West Kent Regiment at Rangoon on April 2nd last.

Lord G. Hamilton thought that question was hardly germane to the one on the paper. An inquiry was proceeding, and until the report of it was received it was impossible to say what action would be taken.

So Lord George Hamilton does not know whether the woman is dead or alive, and he is our paid representative in the House of Commons! Lord Curzon, however, is doing his very best to bring the culprits to justice.

offenders as yet. The outrage was committed not on a dark night, in some jungle, or out-of-the-way place, but in the streets of a big city, in broad day-light, in the presence of scores of people.

EVERYBODY knows that those who have previous convictions against them are treated with great severity in this country. Here is an instance. Says Truth: By the recent appointment of Mr. Justice Subramana Iyer, a veritable Draco has been added to the Indian bench.

Mr. Justice Iyer has only followed a long established custom in this country. Many Judges before him have given ten years' or life-long imprisonment to people for stealing a bangle or a plantain leaf, simply because they were convicted of thefts on previous occasions.

OUR correspondent, "Justice," whose letter is published in another column, brings to prominent notice a practice which is very objectionable and which, we are glad to see, has engaged the serious attention of both the Bombay and Calcutta High Courts.

WITH regard to the Rangoon outrage case, it appears that the Military Court of Inquiry has been ordered to reassemble and take additional evidence. After considerable correspondence the military authorities have handed over to the Rangoon Commissioner of Police a copy of the evidence taken before the military court.

ELSEWHERE will be found the second letter of Moulvi Dedar Buksh. When his first letter reached our hands, we could not persuade ourselves to believe that there were Mussalmans so liberal in their views of religion as to be able to join the Hindus, call them brethren, and accept the Lord Gauranga as a Prophet along with Mahomed.

itself a pleasure. His unostentatious and genuine piety makes him "meaner than grass." From him we learnt that there is a considerable body of Mussalmans who hold the view that he does, and he thinks it is quite possible for the Hindus and Mussalmans to join together for the purposes of worshipping the Father of all, Whom he calls the "Universal Hari."

THE Pioneer publishes an extraordinary account of a police torture case, which is reproduced in another column. If there was a province where the police had everything in their own way it was the N.-W. Provinces. This was due to the fact that, before the advent of Sir A. P. MacDonnell, no Lieutenant-Governor of the N.-W. P. would care or venture to control his subordinates.

The above happened immediately before the appointment of Sir Antony MacDonnell as Lieutenant-Governor of the N. W. Provinces. As soon as he became the ruler of the United Provinces, his first work, however, was to purge the police of its impurities.

It is a notorious fact that the bestowal of the Lieutenant-Governorship upon Sir A. P. MacDonnell created consternation and mourning in the ranks of the N.-W. P. officials. The Pioneer was so disgusted with the arrangement that it openly expressed its disapprobation of the appointment, and had many a fine at the new Governor. Sir Antony was, however, a man of will and determination; and, above all, he had the good of the people at heart.

His Honor will, however, find from the extract from the Pioneer that corruptions amongst the police in his province have not yet been thoroughly eradicated.

WE are glad to learn that the account of the damage done to the paddy crop by shekoko, a small beetle, which was published in our columns the other day, attracted the kind attention of Sir J. Woodburn and he has referred the matter to the Director of the Agricultural Department for consideration.











